

EXHIBIT B

Law Offices of
Marilynn Mika Spencer

2044 First Avenue, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 233-1313 telephone
(619) 233-1314 facsimile

43537 Ridge Park Drive
Temecula, CA 92590
telephone (909) 694-6337
facsimile (909) 695-2442

May 27, 2003

By First Class Mail and e-mail

Janice P. Brown
Vantage Law Group LLP
4370 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 860
San Diego, CA 92122-1252
brown@vantagelaw.com

Re: Senomyx' Patent Applications;
Dr. Elliot Adler and Senomyx

Dear Ms. Brown:

This is a request for assurances that Senomyx intends to reasonably compensate Elliot Adler for post-termination work he may perform at the company's request, pursuant to the Employee Proprietary Information and Inventions Agreement ("Agreement") signed by the company and by Dr. Adler. Paragraph 2.8 of the Agreement obligates Senomyx to compensate Dr. Adler for this type of post-termination work "at a reasonable rate after [his] termination for the time actually spent by [Dr. Adler] at the company's request." Any such work is to be "at the cost and expense of the Assignee [Senomyx]"

Senomyx has requested Dr. Adler's post-termination review of documents pertaining to its patent applications for inventions on which Dr. Adler was an inventor, and his signature on appropriate related documents. When I spoke with Senomyx' patent attorney, Robin Teskin, on April 15, 2003 on this subject, I attempted to make arrangements for Dr. Adler's reasonable compensation. Ms. Teskin said she does not represent Senomyx for any purpose other than pursuing patent applications, and referred me to Senomyx for these arrangements.

Even before that phone call, I had attempted to make compensation arrangements with you (e.g. through my August 20, 2002 letter to you), but you closed off communication. Subsequently, after Senomyx contacted Dr. Adler directly in March 2003, I again initiated contact with you (and Ms. Teskin) by my letters of April 16 and April 24, 2003. You did not respond to either letter.

More recently, Ms. Teskin sent Dr. Adler a packet of documents for review. Her accompanying letter provided a new deadline for Dr. Adler's response and described the way she intends to complete the USPO paperwork if Dr. Adler has not signed off on the

RECEIVED

JUL 24 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS